arXiv:1207.1612v1 [math.AG] 6 Jul 2012

ON NEWTON NON-DEGENERACY OF POLYNOMIAL MAPPINGS

Y. CHEN, L.R.G. DIAS, AND M. TIBĂR

Abstract. We introduce a new non-degeneracy condition "at infinity" for a real or a mixed polynomial mapping F which allows us to approximate its bifurcation locus in terms of certain Newton polyhedra. We derive a sufficiency result for the Jacobian problem in the setting of mixed mappings.

1. Introduction

The bifurcation locus of a C^1 mapping $F : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and $n \geq k$, is defined as the minimal set of points $\Lambda(F) \subset \mathbb{A}^k$ out of which the mapping is a locally trivial fibration. There is no effective characterisation of this set unless F is a real or complex polynomial function in two variables, [Su], [HL] [Du], [Ti1], [TZ]. In higher dimensions, one may approximate $\Lambda(F)$ by some "reasonably good" set $B \supset \Lambda(F)$ by using certain criteria of regularity at infinity. This was done first in case of complex polynomial functions $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ with conditions like *tameness* [Br1], *Malgrange regularity* [Pa], *ρ-regularity* [NZ2], [Ti1], *$\mathcal{W}$-equisingularity* [Ve], [ST] etc. Each of these conditions holds over $\mathbb{R}$ too and exhibits, in both settings, a finite subset of "non-regular values" containing the bifurcation set $\Lambda(f)$.

Whenever $k > 1$, Rabier [Ra] considered an asymptotic regularity derived from a Palais-Smale type condition in order to define a set $K_\infty(F)$ and to prove that $\Lambda(F) \subset F(\operatorname{Sing} F) \cup K_\infty(F)$ for a large class of mappings F. In the context of semi-algebraic $F \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$, Kurdyka, Orro and Simon showed in [KOS] that $K_\infty(F)$ is a semi-algebraic set of dimension at most $k-1$. Gaffney [Ga] defined a generalised Malgrange condition in the setting of complex polynomial mappings $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^k$ and proved that this condition yields a set A_G of non-regular values such that $\Lambda(F) \subset F(\operatorname{Sing} F) \cup A_G$. Then Jelonek [Je2] showed that the asymptotic conditions employed in [KOS] and in [Ga] are equivalent, i.e. that $K_\infty(F) = A_G$.

More recently, [DRT] gives a geometrically grounded condition in the setting of semi-algebraic C^2 mappings $F \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ and shows that this is equivalent to the asymptotic regularity condition used in [Ra] and [KOS]. Furthermore, [DRT] uses the ρ-regularity in the setting of semi-algebraic mappings to exhibit a semi-algebraic set $S(F)$ for which the inclusion $\Lambda(F) \subset F(\operatorname{Sing} F) \cup S(F)$ holds, [DRT, Theorem 5.7(a)].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D06, 58K05, 57R45, 14P10, 32S20, 58K15.

Key words and phrases. singularities of real polynomial mappings, fibrations, bifurcation locus, Newton polyhedron, atypical values, regularity at infinity, semi-algebraic Sard type theorem.

L.R.G. Dias acknowledges the Brazilian grants CAPES-Proc. 2929/10-04 and FAPESP-Proc. 2008/10563-4. M. Tibăr acknowledges the support of the French *Agence Nationale de la Recherche*, grant ANR-08-JCJC-0118-01.

In this paper we consider not only real and complex polynomial mappings but also *mixed mappings*, which are mappings $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ regarded as $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ in variables z and $\bar{z}$. *Mixed polynomials* have been introduced by Oka, who studied several aspects of their local topology in [Oka2], [Oka3] and some other more recent articles. In [CT] we have used the *mixed Newton polyhedron at infinity* to define a semi-algebraic set which approximates the bifurcation values.

Under the non-degeneracy condition of Definition 3.3, we obtain the following result on the bifurcation locus of $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$, computable in terms of critical values of restrictions F_Δ to certain faces of the Newton polyhedra of the components f_i (cf Definition 3.5):

Theorem 1.1. *Let $F : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$, $n \geq k$, be a real or mixed polynomial mapping such that $F(0) = 0$. If F is non-degenerate at infinity and depends effectively on all the variables then:*

$$K_\infty(F) \subset \mathbb{A}^k \setminus (\mathbb{A}^*)^k \cup \bigcup_{\triangle \in \mathfrak{B}(F)} F_\triangle(\operatorname{Sing} F_\triangle \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n)$$

where $\mathfrak{B}(F)$ is the set of "atypical faces" of F.

We actually introduce here a new *non-degeneracy condition at infinity* in the real and mixed settings. This extends the definitions of *Newton non-degenerate at infinity* for functions, both in the complex setting [Ku], [Br1], [Br2], [NZ1] and in the more recently developed mixed setting [CT]. Our Definition 3.3 of non-degeneracy is particularly designed to treat the case of *non-convenient* polynomial mappings (cf Definition 3.1) since bifurcation values at infinity appear only in this context, see Corollary 1.2. Bivià-Ausina considers in [Bi] a different notion of non-degeneracy for real polynomial mappings $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ and use it whenever each component f_i is convenient. We show in §5 that, whenever $n > k$ and F is convenient, our definition of non-degeneracy is strictly more general than the one considered in [Bi], and that, for $n = k$, the two definitions are equivalent, cf Proposition 5.3, see also Example 5.5.

Our definition of non-degeneracy for mappings is completely new in the case of mixed mappings. Moreover, under our definition, a mixed mapping may be convenient without the underlying real components to be convenient, whereas if all the real components are convenient then the mixed functions must be also convenient (see Example 5.4). Note however that the property of being convenient depends on the coordinates.

Theorem 1.1 also extends to real or mixed mappings a recent result proved for mixed polynomial functions $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ in [CT, Theorem 1.1(a)] and previously in [NZ1] for complex polynomials f, in view of the inclusion $S(f) \subset K_\infty(f)$ which follows by [CT, Prop. 2.2] and by Proposition 2.5.

Applied to mixed mappings $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^k$, our theorem yields a better result than for the same underlying real mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2k}$. This is not only trivially visible in the first term of the union $\mathbb{A}^k \setminus (\mathbb{A}^*)^k$, but also in the second term since the involved Newton polyhedra turn out to be different. Moreover, the statement in the mixed setting cannot be deduced from the one in the real setting; its proofs is similar, but needs specific notations and preliminaries. We give in §4 the proof of Theorem 1.1 and drive some consequences, such as the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that F is non-degenerate at infinity and that f_i is convenient, for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $K_\infty(F) = \emptyset$.*

In [Br1, Proposition 3.4], Broughton showed that if a polynomial complex function $f\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is convenient and Newton non-degenerate then F is tame, which implies that $K_\infty(F) = \emptyset$. Thus Corollary 1.2 extends to real and mixed mappings Broughton's classical result and a recent result for mixed functions [CT, Corollary 4.1]. We shall prove it at the end of §4.

We use the above results to derive a sufficiency criterion for the Jacobian problem:

Corollary 1.3. *Let $F : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^n$ be a C^1 real semi-algebraic mapping such that* $\operatorname{Sing} F = \emptyset$. *If $K_\infty(F) = \emptyset$ then F is a global diffeomorphism.*

In particular, if $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is a real or a mixed polynomial mapping, non-degenerate at infinity, and if f_i is convenient for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ then F is a global C^∞-diffeomorphism.

Proof. Let J_F denote the set of points at which F is not proper (see definition below and [Je1, Definition 3.3]). By [KOS, Proposition 3.1], one has $K_\infty(F) = J_F$. Thus if $K_\infty(F) = \emptyset$ then F is proper. It is moreover a submersion since $\operatorname{Sing} F = \emptyset$ by hypothesis. A proper submersion is an open and closed mapping, a general topological fact. Thus F is a covering and it must be one-to-one since its image $\mathbb{A}^n$ is simply connected. Our first assertion follows. Remark that the final part of this proof is actually Hadamard's theorem, see e.g. [vdE, p. 240]. The second assertion follows by Corollary 1.2. □

Bivià-Ausina [Bi] proved such a sufficiency criterion for the Jacobian problem in case of a real polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$. He obtained the properness of F, necessary for the bijectivity of F, via an interpretation of his notion of non-degeneracy in terms of Łojasiewicz exponents. In [Bi, p. 746], the author observes that the techniques used in his paper work only for real polynomial mappings.

Our result not only provides a totally different proof, in terms of the absence of asymptotic critical values and of a new Newton non-degeneracy condition, but extends its applicability to the new class of convenient mixed mappings (which may be not convenient viewed as just real mappings, see Example 5.4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **ρ-regularity and asymptotic critical values.** Let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_k) : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$, $n \geq k$, be a C^1 real semi-algebraic mapping with $f_i \not\equiv$ const., $\forall i = 1, \dots, k$. The *Milnor set* of F, denoted by $M(F)$, is the critical locus of the mapping (F, ρ), where $\rho : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ denotes the Euclidean distance function. From its definition, it follows that $M(F)$ is a closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{A}^n$. Note that, in the case $n = k$, $M(F)$ coincides with the whole $\mathbb{A}^n$.

Definition 2.1. Let $F : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$ be a C^1 non-constant real semi-algebraic mapping. The set of *asymptotic non ρ-regular values* of F is defined as

$$S(F) := \left\{ \mathrm{c} \in \mathbb{A}^k \mid \exists \{\mathrm{x}_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M(F), \lim_{l \to \infty} \|\mathrm{x}_l\| = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{l \to \infty} F(\mathrm{x}_l) = \mathrm{c} \right\}.$$

We have the following general structure result:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$ be a C^1 non-constant real semi-algebraic mapping. Then $S(F)$ and $F(\mathrm{Sing} F) \cup S(F)$ are closed semi-algebraic sets of dimension $\leq k-1$.*

Proof. This is [DRT, Theorem 5.7] in the case $n > k$ and [KOS, Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.1] in the case $n = k$. □

In the case $n = k$, $S(F)$ coincides with the non-properness set J_F studied in particular by Jelonek:

Definition 2.3. [Je1, Definition 3.3] Let $F\colon \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$ be a continuous mapping. We say that F is proper at a point $\mathrm{c} \in \mathbb{A}^k$ if there exists an open neighbourhood U of c such that the restriction $F_{|F^{-1}(U)}\colon F^{-1}(U) \to U$ is a proper mapping. We denote by J_F the set of points at which F is not proper.

The *set of generalised critical values* is defined as $K(F) := F(\mathrm{Sing} F) \cup K_\infty(F)$, where:

Definition 2.4. [Ra, p. 670], [KOS, p. 68] The set of *asymptotic critical values* of a real semi-algebraic mapping $F : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$ is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\infty(F) \ := \ & \{\mathrm{c} \in \mathbb{A}^k \mid \exists \{\mathrm{x}_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{A}^n, \lim_{l\to\infty} \|\mathrm{x}_l\| = \infty, \\ & \lim_{l\to\infty} F(\mathrm{x}_l) = \mathrm{c} \text{ and } \lim_{l\to\infty} (1 + \|\mathrm{x}_l\|)\nu(\mathrm{d}F(\mathrm{x}_l)) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu(A) := \inf_{\|\varphi\|=1} \|A^*(\varphi)\|$, for $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathbb{A}^k)$.

From the above definitions we get the inclusions $S(F) \subset J_F$ and $K_\infty(F) \subset J_F$. In the case $n = k$ one has $J_F = K_\infty(F)$ by [KOS, Proposition 3.1], hence $S(F) = J_F = K_\infty(F)$.

For $n \geq k$, the inclusion $S(F) \subset K_\infty(F)$ was shown in [CT, Proposition 2.2] for a mixed polynomial, and in the more general real setting in [DRT, Corollary 5.8]. Here we offer a new and direct proof of this inclusion, both over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_k) : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$ be a C^1 non-constant real semi-algebraic mapping with $n \geq k$. Then $S(F) \subseteq K_\infty(F)$.*

Proof. For $n = k$ we get the equality $J_F = K_\infty(F)$, as indicated after Definition 2.4 above. We concentrate in the following to the case $n > k$.

Let $\mathrm{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_k) \in S(F)$. Since $M(F)$ is semi-algebraic, one can use the Curve Selection Lemma at infinity to find an analytic path $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) :]0, \epsilon[\to M(F) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0} \|\phi(t)\| = \infty$ and $\lim_{t\to 0} F(\phi(t)) = \mathrm{c}$.

We know that

$$\phi(t) \in M(F) \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(\phi(t)) & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(\phi(t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_1}(\phi(t)) & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_n}(\phi(t)) \\ \phi_1(t) & \ldots & \phi_n(t) \end{pmatrix} < k+1. \tag{1}$$

So, there exist curves $\lambda(t), b_1(t), \ldots, b_k(t)$ such that $(\lambda(t), b_1(t), \ldots, b_k(t)) \neq (0, \ldots, 0), \forall t$, and one has the equality:

$$\lambda(t)(\phi_1(t), \ldots, \phi_n(t)) = b_1(t)\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(\phi(t)) + \ldots + b_k(t)\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x}(\phi(t)), \tag{2}$$

where $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(\phi(t)) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\phi(t)), \ldots, \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\phi(t))\right)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Consider $b(t) = (b_1(t), \ldots, b_k(t))$. From the equality (2) and by the statements that $(\lambda(t), b_1(t), \ldots, b_k(t)) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$, $\forall t$, and $\lim_{t\to 0} \|\phi(t)\| = \infty$, we have $b(t) \neq 0, \forall t$, and consequently, from (2), we obtain:

$$\frac{\lambda(t)}{\|b(t)\|}(\phi_1(t), \ldots, \phi_n(t)) = \frac{b_1(t)}{\|b(t)\|}\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(\phi(t)) + \ldots + \frac{b_k(t)}{\|b(t)\|}\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x}(\phi(t)); \tag{3}$$

and we will denote $\lambda_0(t) := \frac{\lambda(t)}{\|b(t)\|}$ and $a(t) := \frac{b(t)}{\|b(t)\|}$. So, $\|a(t)\| = 1$ and one obtains the following equalities:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i(t)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}f_i(\phi(t)) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i(t)\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(\phi(t)), \phi'(t) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0(t)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\|\phi(t)\|^2, \tag{4}$$

where the later follows from (3), i.e., from the equality $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i(t)\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(\phi(t)) = \lambda_0(t)\phi(t)$.

On the other hand, since $\lim_{t\to 0} f_i(\phi(t)) = c_i$, it follows that $\mathrm{ord_t}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}f_i(\phi(t))\right) \geq 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. This and the equality (4) imply:

$$0 \leq \mathrm{ord_t}\left(\lambda_0(t)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\|\phi(t)\|^2\right) < \mathrm{ord_t}\left(\lambda_0(t)\|\phi(t)\|^2\right). \tag{5}$$

Now, from (3) one obtains:

$$\mathrm{ord_t}\left(\|\phi(t)\|\|a_1(t)\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(\phi(t)) + \ldots + a_k(t)\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x}(\phi(t))\|\right) = \mathrm{ord_t}\left(|\lambda_0(t)|\|\phi(t)\|^2\right), \tag{6}$$

which is positive by (5). This implies:

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\|\phi(t)\|\|a_1(t)\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(\phi(t)) + \ldots + a_k(t)\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x}(\phi(t))\| = 0,$$

which, in turn, implies $\lim_{t\to 0}\|\phi(t)\|\nu(\mathrm{d}F(\phi(t))) = 0$. This shows that $\mathrm{c} \in K_\infty(F)$. □

3. NEWTON POLYHEDRON AND NON-DEGENERACY CONDITION AT INFINITY

Let $f : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}$ be a non-constant polynomial function, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We write:

$$f(\mathrm{x}) = \sum_{\nu} c_\nu \mathrm{x}^\nu,$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\mathrm{x}^\nu = x_1^{\nu_1}\cdots x_n^{\nu_n}$. In the mixed case, we write:

$$f(\mathrm{z}, \bar{\mathrm{z}}) = \sum_{\nu,\mu} c_{\nu,\mu}\mathrm{z}^\nu\bar{\mathrm{z}}^\mu,$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_n), \mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mathrm{z}^\nu = z_1^{\nu_1}\cdots z_n^{\nu_n}$ and $\bar{\mathrm{z}}^\mu = \bar{z}_1^{\mu_1}\cdots\bar{z}_n^{\mu_n}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $f : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}$ be a non-constant polynomial function (resp. mixed function). We call $\mathrm{supp}\,(f) = \{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid c_\nu \neq 0\}$ (resp. $\mathrm{supp}\,(f) = \{\nu + \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid c_{\nu,\mu} \neq 0\}$) the *support* of f. We say that f is *convenient* if the intersection of $\mathrm{supp}\,(f)$ with each coordinate axis is non-empty. We denote by $\overline{\mathrm{supp}(f)}$ the convex hull of the set $\mathrm{supp}(f)\setminus\{0\}$. The *Newton polyhedron* of f, denoted by $\Gamma_0(f)$, is the convex hull of the set $\{0\}\cup\mathrm{supp}(f)$. The *Newton boundary at infinity* of f, denoted by $\Gamma^+(f)$, is the union of the faces of the polyhedron $\Gamma_0(f)$ which do not contain the origin. By "face" we mean face of any dimension.

Let $\triangle$ be a face of $\overline{\text{supp}(f)}$. The restriction of f to $\triangle \cap \text{supp}(f)$, denoted by $f_\triangle$, is defined as follows $f_\triangle(\mathrm{x}) := \sum_{\nu \in \triangle \cap \text{supp}(f)} c_\nu \mathrm{x}^\nu$ (resp. $f_\triangle(\mathrm{x}) := \sum_{\nu+\mu \in \triangle \cap \text{supp}(f)} c_{\nu,\mu} \mathrm{z}^\nu \overline{\mathrm{z}}^\mu$).

Let us recall from [NZ1] and from [CT] the definition of "bad" faces of f, which we shall call here "atypical".

Definition 3.2. Let $f : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}$ be a non-constant polynomial function (or a mixed function). A face $\triangle$ of $\overline{\text{supp}(f)}$ is called *atypical* if the following condition is verified:

(a) There exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of the equation $a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n = 0$ (where $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$) such that:
   (i) there exist $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $a_i < 0$ and $a_j > 0$,
   (ii) $H \cap \overline{\text{supp}(f)} = \triangle$.

The face of $\triangle \subseteq \overline{\text{supp}(f)}$ is called *strictly atypical* if moreover we have

(b) The affine subspace of the same dimension spanned by $\triangle$ contains the origin.

Let us now consider a real or mixed mapping $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_k) : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$, $n \geq k$. For a vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $p = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i$, $J = \{j \mid p_j = p\}$ and suppose that $p < 0$. Define the restriction of the linear function $l_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i v_i$ on $\overline{\text{supp}(f_i)}$, let us denote by $\triangle^j_{\mathbf{p}}$ the unique maximal[1] face of $\overline{\text{supp}(f_j)}$ where $l_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{v})$ takes its minimal value $d^j_{\mathbf{p}}$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$. For some $I \subset \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we set $F_{\triangle^I_{\mathbf{p}}} = (f_{\triangle^j_{\mathbf{p}}})_{j \in I}$, where $f_{\triangle^j_{\mathbf{p}}}$ denotes the restriction of f_j to the face $\triangle^j_{\mathbf{p}}$. We define:

$$\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}} := \{j \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \mid \triangle^j_{\mathbf{p}} \text{ is a face of } \Gamma^+(f_j) \text{ and } d^j_{\mathbf{p}} < 0\}. \tag{7}$$

Definition 3.3. We say that a polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$ is *Newton non-degenerate at infinity*, resp. Newton *strongly* non-degenerate at infinity, if for any vector $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $p < 0$ and such that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}} \neq \emptyset$, the following condition is satisfied:

$$(*) \qquad \text{Sing} F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}} \cap \{\mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid f_{\triangle^j_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathrm{x}) = 0, \forall j \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}}\} \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n = \emptyset,$$

respectively

$$(**) \qquad \text{Sing} F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}} \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n = \emptyset.$$

In the following we shall abbreviate by "non-degenerate" or "strong non-degenerate".

REMARK 3.4. The above definitions extend the ones in case of a mixed polynomial function, namely, for $k = 1$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C}$, Definition 3.3 agrees with [CT, Definition 3.2] and Definition 3.2 with [CT, Definition 3.3].

Definition 3.5. Let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_k) : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^k$ be a polynomial mapping and let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus 0$. If $\triangle^i_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a atypical (resp. strictly atypical) face of f_i for all i, we say that $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}} = \triangle^1_{\mathbf{p}} \times \triangle^2_{\mathbf{p}} \times \cdots \times \triangle^k_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a *atypical* (resp. *strictly atypical*) *face* of F. We denote by $\mathfrak{B}(F)$ the set of the atypical faces of F.

---

[1] "maximal face" means with respect to the inclusion of faces

Remark 3.6. If F is non-degenerate (resp. strongly non-degenerate) and $\triangle$ is a atypical face of F, then $F_\triangle$ is also non-degenerate at infinity (resp. strongly non-degenerate at infinity).

Definition 3.7. We say that F *depends effectively on all the variables*, if for every variable z_i there exists some $j(i) \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $f_{j(i)}$ depends effectively on z_i.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and some consequences

We begin with some notations. Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a multi-index. We define $\mathbb{A}^I := \{\mathrm{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid z_i = 0,\ i \notin I\}$, $(\mathbb{A}^*)^I := \{\mathrm{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid z_i = 0 \Longleftrightarrow i \notin I\}$ and $F^I := F_{|\mathbb{A}^I}$, the restriction of F on $\mathbb{A}^I$.

We shall show the inclusion $K_\infty(F) \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^k \subset \underset{\triangle \in \mathfrak{B}(F)}{\cup} F_\triangle(\operatorname{Sing} F_\triangle \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n)$, which implies the statement of Theorem 1.1. The proof will be given in the mixed setting only, since the proof in the real setting follows faithfully the same pattern and only needs adapted notations.

Let $\mathrm{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_k) \in K_\infty(F) \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. By definition of $K_\infty(F)$ and by the *Curve Selection Lemma*, there exists an analytic path $\mathrm{z}(t) = (z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t))$ defined on a small enough interval $]0, \varepsilon[$, such that $\lim_{t \to 0} \|\mathrm{z}(t)\| = \infty$, $\lim_{t \to 0} F(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t)) = \mathrm{c}$ and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|\mathrm{z}(t)\| \|\nu(\mathrm{d}F(\mathrm{z}(t)))\| = 0. \tag{8}$$

We have $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ where $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, $f_l = g_l + ih_l$. By the proof of [CT, Lemma 2.1] one has:

$$(a_i + ib_i)\frac{\partial \bar{f_l}}{\partial \overline{z}_j} + (a_i - ib_i)\frac{\partial f_l}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = a_i \frac{\partial g_l}{\partial x_j} + b_i \frac{\partial h_l}{\partial x_j} + i(a_i \frac{\partial g_l}{\partial y_j} + b_i \frac{\partial h_l}{\partial y_j}),$$

which shows that: $\nu(\mathrm{d}F(\mathrm{z})) = \min \| \sum_{i=1}^k (\mu_i \overline{\mathrm{d} f_i}(\mathrm{z}, \overline{\mathrm{z}}) + \overline{\mu_i} \overline{\mathrm{d}} f_i(\mathrm{z}, \overline{\mathrm{z}}))\|$, for $\mu_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k |\mu_i|^2 = 1$. Therefore (8) yields, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|z_i(t)\| \|\mu_1(t) \overline{\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_i}}(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t)) + \overline{\mu_1}(t) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \overline{z}_i}(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t)) + \cdots + \overline{\mu_k}(t) \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial \overline{z}_i}(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t))\| = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $\mu_j(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^k |\mu_j(t)|^2 = 1$, since the left hand side of (9) is less than or equal to $\|\mathrm{z}(t)\| \|\nu(\mathrm{d}F(\mathrm{z}(t)))\|$. Let $L = \{l \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid z_l(t) \not\equiv 0\}$. Observe that $L \neq \emptyset$ since $\lim\limits_{t \to 0} \|\mathrm{z}(t)\| = \infty$, and write:

$$z_l(t) = z_l t^{p_l} + \text{h.o.t.}, \qquad \text{where} \ \ z_l \in \mathbb{C}^*, \ p_l \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \forall l \in L. \tag{10}$$

Consider the expansion of $F(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t))$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have either:

$$f_i(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t)) \equiv c_i$$

or

$$f_i(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t)) = c_i + \text{h.o.t.}, \qquad \text{where} \ \ c_i \in \mathbb{C}^*. \tag{11}$$

One may assume (eventually after a change of coordinates) that $L = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $p = p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_m$. Since $\lim_{t \to 0} \|\mathrm{z}(t)\| = \infty$, one has $p = \min_{i \in L}\{p_i\} < 0$. Let $\mathrm{z}_0 := (z_1, \dots, z_m, 0, \dots, 0) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^L$ and consider the linear function $l_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i v_i +$

$\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} g v_j$, where $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_m, g, \dots, g) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $g \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough. Let $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{iL}$ be the *maximal face* of $\overline{\mathrm{supp}(f_i^L)}$ where $l_{\mathbf{p}}$ restricted to $\overline{\mathrm{supp}(f_i^L)}$ takes its minimal value, which we denote by $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{iL}$. We observe that, by definition of the vector $\mathbf{p}$ and by definition of f_i^L, one has $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{iL} = \triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}$, $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{iL} = d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}$, and consequently $f^L_{i\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{iL}} = f_{i\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}}$ (in fact, for any $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \overline{\mathrm{supp}(f_i)} \setminus \overline{\mathrm{supp}(f_i^L)}$, the value of $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i v_i + g \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} v_i$ is greater than $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{iL}$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, k$). So, we will denote $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{iL}$ (resp. $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{iL}$) only by $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}$ (resp. $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}$).

We have:

$$f_i(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t)) = f_i^L(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t)) = f^L_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}}(\mathrm{z}_0, \overline{\mathrm{z}}_0) t^{d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}} + \text{h.o.t.} \tag{12}$$

Since $\lim_{t\to 0} F(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t)) = \mathrm{c} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$, one has $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} \leq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. We write:

$$\mu_i(t) = \mu_i t^{q_i} + \text{h.o.t.}, \qquad \text{where } \ \mu_i \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ and } q_i \geq 0. \tag{13}$$

If $\mu_i \equiv 0$, we put $q_i = \infty$ in (13). Let $I = \left\{ i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \mid q_i + d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} = \min\limits_{1 \leq i \leq k} (q_i + d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}) \right\}$. As $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \parallel \mu_i(t) \parallel^2 = 1$, we have $\min\limits_{1 \leq i \leq k} q_i = 0$. Hence $I \neq \emptyset$ and $\mu_i(t) \not\equiv 0$ for $i \in I$. We conclude therefore $q_i + d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} \leq 0$, $\forall i \in I$. Then (9) yields, for any $l \in L$:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \Big(\mu_i z_l \overline{\frac{\partial f^L_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}}}{\partial z_l}}(\mathrm{z}_0, \overline{\mathrm{z}}_0) + \overline{\mu}_i z_l \frac{\partial f^L_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}}}{\partial \overline{z}_l}\Big)(\mathrm{z}_0, \overline{\mathrm{z}}_0) t^{q_i + d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}} + \text{h.o.t.} \to 0. \tag{14}$$

Comparing the orders of the two sides in the above formula, we obtain, for any $l \in L$:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \Big(\mu_i \overline{\frac{\partial f^L_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}}}{\partial z_l}}(\mathrm{z}_0, \overline{\mathrm{z}}_0) + \overline{\mu}_i \frac{\partial f^L_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}}}{\partial \overline{z}_l}(\mathrm{z}_0, \overline{\mathrm{z}}_0)\Big) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Let $\mathrm{z}_1 := (z_1, \dots, z_m, 1, \dots, 1)$. From the equation (15), from the definitions of the vectors z_0 and z_1, and by the equality $f^L_{i\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}} = f_{i\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}}$, one concludes that $\mathrm{z}_1 \in \mathrm{Sing}\, F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}} \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. The last equality (i.e., the equality $f^L_{i\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}} = f_{i\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i}}$) is explained in the paragraph before the equation (12). For every $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{j} < 0$, the face $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{j}$ is a face of $\Gamma^+(f_j)$ by [CT, Lemma 3.9], and hence $j \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}}$. By (11) and (12), we must have $f_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{j}}(\mathrm{z}_1, \overline{\mathrm{z}}_1) = 0$ since $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{j} < 0$. Therefore $\mathrm{z}_1 \in \mathrm{Sing}\, F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}} \cap \{\mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid f_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{j}}(\mathrm{z}, \overline{\mathrm{z}}) = 0, \forall j \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}}\} \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ which contradicts the non degeneracy condition $(*)$ of Definition 3.3.

Else, we have $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let H be the hyperplane defined by $H := \{\mathrm{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i v_i + g \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} v_i = 0\}$, where the numbers $p_1, \dots, p_m$ and g are the same numbers which appear in the definition of $\mathbf{p}$, i.e., $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m, g, \dots, g)$. We have obtained that $d_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. This implies that $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} = \overline{\mathrm{supp}(f_i)} \cap H$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, which shows us that the condition (a)(ii) of Definition 3.2 is satisfied for all i.

Moreover, this shows that condition (a)(i) of Definition 3.2 is also satisfied whenever $m < n$, since $g > 0$ and $p_1 < 0$. Let us suppose that there exists l such that $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{l}$ does not satisfy condition (a)-(i) of Definition 3.2. Then, from definition of the set L, one has $m = n$ and $p_i \leq 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. By our hypothesis in the statement of the theorem, F depends effectively on the variable z_1, which implies that there exists some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

such that f_j depends effectively on the variable z_1. Since $p_1 < 0$, one obtains that $d^j_{\mathbf{p}} < 0$. But this contradicts $d^j_{\mathbf{p}} = 0$.

Therefore, one has that $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}$ is an atypical face of F. Moreover, since, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $d^i_{\mathbf{p}} = 0$, one obtains $\mathrm{c} = F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathrm{z}_1, \overline{\mathrm{z}}_1) \in F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathrm{Sing}\,(F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathrm{z}, \overline{\mathrm{z}})) \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n)$. This completes our proof. $\square$

Let us give here two consequences.

Proposition 4.1. *If F is strongly non-degenerate at infinity, then $F(\mathrm{Sing}\, F) \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^k$ and $K_\infty(F) \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^k$ are bounded.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, we have $K_\infty(F) \subset \mathbb{A}^k \setminus (\mathbb{A}^*)^k \cup \bigcup_{\triangle \in \mathfrak{B}(F)} F_\triangle(\mathrm{Sing}\, F_\triangle \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n)$, where $\mathfrak{B}(F)$ is the set of atypical faces of F. By Remark 3.6, for any face $\triangle \in \mathfrak{B}(F)$, $F_\triangle$ is strongly non-degenerate at infinity. If we prove that $F(\mathrm{Sing}\, F) \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^k$ is bounded, then this proof will work the same for $F_\triangle$, thus $F_\triangle(\mathrm{Sing}\, F_\triangle) \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^k$ will be bounded for any $\triangle \in \mathfrak{B}(F)$. Consequently $\bigcup_{\triangle \in \mathfrak{B}(F)} F_\triangle(\mathrm{Sing}\, F_\triangle \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n)$ is bounded, since the set of atypical faces is finite.

Suppose that $F(\mathrm{Sing}\, F)$ is not bounded. By the Curve Selection Lemma", there exists a real analytic path $\mathrm{z}(t) \subset \mathrm{Sing}\, F$ defined on a small enough interval $]0, \varepsilon[$ such that:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|\mathrm{z}(t)\| = \infty, \text{ and } \lim_{t \to 0} \|F(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t))\| = \infty.$$

We use the same notations as before and rely on the proof of the theorem. In particular the initial exponents of $\mathrm{z}(t)$ in (10) define a vector $\mathbf{p}$. By our assumption $\lim_{t \to 0} \|F(\mathrm{z}(t), \overline{\mathrm{z}}(t))\| = \infty$, there exists some i such that $d^i_{\mathbf{p}} < 0$. Then $\triangle^i_{\mathbf{p}}$ must be a face of $\Gamma^+(f_i)$, by [CT, Lemma 3.9], and therefore $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}} \neq \emptyset$. By displaying the condition $\mathrm{z}(t) \subset \mathrm{Sing}\, F$ and comparing the orders of the expansions, we get directly the relations (15) and $\mathrm{z}_1 \in \mathrm{Sing}\,(F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathrm{z}, \overline{\mathrm{z}})) \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n$. This contradicts the strong non-degeneracy of F by Condition $(**)$ of Definition 3.3. $\square$

4.1. **Proof of Corollary 1.2.** We use the notations and constructions as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, but with the difference that c is any point in $\mathbb{A}^k$, not only in $(\mathbb{A}^*)^k$. Since f_i is convenient, we have $d^i_{\mathbf{p}} < 0 \leq \mathrm{ord}_t(f_i(\mathrm{x}(t))$ for every i. Again by [CT, Lemma 3.9], any face $\triangle^i_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a face of $\Gamma^+(f_i)$. Thus $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}} = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. As before, we get $\mathrm{z}_1 \in \mathrm{Sing}\, F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}} \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n$. Since $d^i_{\mathbf{p}} < 0$ we get that $f_{\triangle^i_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathrm{z}_1, \overline{\mathrm{z}}_1) = 0$ for all i. Consequently, $\mathrm{z}_1 \in \mathrm{Sing}\, F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}} \cap \{\mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid f_{\triangle^j_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathrm{z}, \overline{\mathrm{z}}) = 0, \forall j \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}}\} \cap (\mathbb{A}^*)^n$, which contradicts the non degeneracy condition $(*)$ of Definition 3.3. $\square$

5. Non-degeneracy at infinity and examples

Bivià-Ausina's non-degeneracy condition formulated in the real setting in [Bi] amounts to the following:

Definition 5.1. [Bi, Definition 3.5] The mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is *non-degenerate at infinity* if the following condition is satisfied for any $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $p = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i < 0$:

$$\left\{ \mathrm{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^n \mid f_{\triangle^j_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathrm{x}) = 0, \text{ for all } j = 1, \ldots, k \right\} = \emptyset. \tag{16}$$

Remark 5.2. In our constructions we have used the minimal value of the linear function $l_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i v_i$ on $\overline{\operatorname{supp}(f_i)}$, since we have consider analytic curves depending on $t \to 0$, while in [Bi] the author used the maximal value of the linear function $l_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i v_i$ on $\overline{\operatorname{supp}(f_i)}$ since he considered analytic curves of variable $t \to \infty$. Modulo this difference, the original definition in [Bi] coincides to the above.

Let us see how Bivià-Ausina's definition of Newton non-degeneracy at infinity for mappings compares to ours. Some examples are given.

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_k) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $k \leq n$ is a polynomial mapping and that f_i is convenient, for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. If F is non-degenerate at infinity after Definition 5.1, then it is also non-degenerate infinity after Definition 3.3.*

This becomes an equivalence whenever $k = n$.

Proof. We use the notations of the previous section. Let us fix a vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and assume that $p = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i < 0$. Since f_i is convenient for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$, the minimal value $d_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ of $l_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{v})$ must be strictly negative on $\overline{\operatorname{supp}(f_i)}$ and therefore $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ must be a face of $\Gamma^+(f_i)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{p}} = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. The first conclusion of our proposition follows by comparing the condition (16) with the condition $(*)$ of Definition 3.3.

In the case $n = k$, let us assume that F is degenerate at infinity under Definition 5.1. Then there exists $\mathrm{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^n$ and a vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i < 0$ such that $f_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^i}(\mathrm{x}) = 0$, for every i. As before, we have that $\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ is a face of $\Gamma^+(f_i)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

By Euler's identity, we have $\langle \mathrm{d}f_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^i}(\mathrm{x}), \mathbf{p}\mathrm{x} \rangle = d_{\mathbf{p}}^i f_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}^i}(\mathrm{x}) = 0$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $\mathbf{p}\mathrm{x} := (p_1 x_1, \ldots, p_n x_n) \neq 0$. This implies that $\mathrm{d}F(\mathrm{x})\mathbf{p}\mathrm{x} = 0$, which yields $\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{Sing} F_{\triangle_{\mathbf{p}}}$. Thus F is degenerate after Definition 3.3. Together with the first statement of our Proposition, this establishes the equivalence of the two definitions in the case $n = k$. $\square$

Example 5.4. Let $G = (G_1, G_2)\colon \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$, $G(z_1, z_2, \overline{z}_1, \overline{z}_2) = (z_1 + \overline{z}_2, z_1 - \overline{z}_2)$, be a mixed polynomial mapping. Then G is non-degenerate at infinity, $K_\infty(G) = \emptyset$ and G_1, G_2 are convenient. Hence Corollary 1.3 applies showing that G a diffeomorphism (which is already clear since G is invertible).

But if one considers the associated real mapping of G, one has $G(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2, y_1 + y_2)$ and consequently $f_1, \ldots, f_4$ are not convenient, and thus Bivià-Ausina's results do not apply in this situation.

Example 5.5. Consider $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $F(x, y, z) = (x + y, y^2 - z^2)$. One shows that F is non-degenerate at infinity in the sense of Definition 3.3, but degenerate in the sense of Definition 5.1. We also have $\operatorname{Sing} F = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid y = z = 0\}$, so $F(\operatorname{Sing} F) = \{\mathrm{c} = (c_1, 0) \mid c_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It turns out that $K_\infty(F) = \emptyset$.

Example 5.6. Let $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3)\colon \mathbb{A}^3 \to \mathbb{A}^3$ be a polynomial mapping defined by $F(x, y, z) = (x + yz + xy^2, y, xy + z)$, where $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C}$. Then F is Newton non-degenerate, non-convenient, $\operatorname{Sing} F = \emptyset$ and $K_\infty(F) = \emptyset$. Thus Bivià-Ausina's results do not apply, whereas our Corollary 1.3 yields that F a diffeomorphism. Actually it easy to invert F and see that it is an automorphism. One may also show that the mapping $G\colon \mathbb{A}^3 \to \mathbb{A}^2$ defined by $G = (f_1, f_3)$ is degenerate.

References

[Bi] C. Bivià-Ausina, *Injectivity of real polynomial maps and Łojasiewicz exponents at infinity*, Math. Z. 257 (2007), no. 4, 747–767.

[Br1] S.A. Broughton, *On the topology of polynomial hypersurface*, Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), 167-178, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.

[Br2] S.A. Broughton, *Milnor numbers and the topology of polynomial hypersurfaces*, Invent. Math. 92 (1988), no. 2, 217–241.

[CT] Y. Chen and M. Tibăr, *Bifurcation values of mixed polynomials*, Math. Res. Lett. 19 (2012), no.1, 59–79.

[DRT] L.R.G. Dias, M.A.S. Ruas and M. Tibăr, *Regularity at infinity of real mappings and a Morse-Sard theorem*, J. Topology 5, (2012), no. 2, 323–340.

[Du] A. Durfee, *Five definitions of critical points at infinity*, in: Singularities (Oberwolfach, 1996), Progr. Math. 162, 345–360. Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.

[Ga] T. Gaffney, *Fibers of polynomial mappings at infinity and a generalized Malgrange condition,* Compositio Math. 119 (1999), 157–167.

[HL] Hà H.V. and Lê D.T., *Sur la topologie des polynômes complexes*, Acta Math. Vietnam. 9 (1984), no. 1, 21–32.

[Ha] Hà H.V., *Bifurcation set of the global Milnor fibration.* Polynomial automorphisms and related topics, 137-158, Publishing House for Science and Technology, Hanoi, 2007.

[Je1] Z. Jelonek, *Testing sets for polynomial mapping.* Math. Ann. 315 (1999) 1–35.

[Je2] Z. Jelonek, *On asymptotic critical values and the Rabier theorem.* Geometric singularity theory, 125–133, Banach Center Publ., 65, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2004.

[Kh] A. G. Khovanskiĭ. *Newton polyhedra and toroidal varieties*, Funct. Anal. App. 11 (1977), 289–296.

[Ku] A. Kushnirenko, *Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor*, Invent. Math. 32 (1976), 1–31.

[KOS] K. Kurdyka, P. Orro and S. Simon, *Semialgebraic Sard theorem for generalized critical values*, J. Differential Geometry 56 (2000), 67–92.

[Mi] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 61, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo 1968.

[NZ1] A. Némethi and A. Zaharia, *On the bifurcation set of a polynomial function and Newton boundary*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 26 (1990), no. 4, 681–689.

[NZ2] A. Némethi and A. Zaharia, *Milnor fibration at infinity*, Indag. Math. 3 (1992), 323–335.

[Oka1] M. Oka, *On the bifurcation of the multiplicity and topology of the Newton boundary*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31, 3 (1979), 435–450.

[Oka2] M. Oka, *Topology of polar weighted homogeneous hypersurfaces*, Kodai Math. J. 31, 2 (2008), 163–182.

[Oka3] M. Oka, *Non degenerate mixed functions*, Kodai Math. J. 33, 1 (2010), 1–62.

[Pa] A. Parusiński, *On the bifurcation set of a complex polynomial with isolated singularities at infinity*, Compositio Math. 97 (1995), 369–384.

[Ra] P. J. Rabier, *Ehresmann's fibrations and Palais-Smale conditions for morphisms of Finsler manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 146 (1997), 647–691.

[ST] D. Siersma and M. Tibăr, *Singularities at infinity and their vanishing cycles*, Duke Math. Journal 80 (3) (1995), 771–783.

[Su] M. Suzuki, *Propriétés topologiques des polynômes de deux variables complexes, et automorphismes algébriques de l'espace C^2*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 26 (1974), 241–257.

[Ti1] M. Tibăr, *Regularity at infinity of real and complex polynomial functions*, Singularity theory (Liverpool, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 263, pp. 249–264, Cambridge Univ. Press 1999.

[Ti2] M. Tibăr, *Polynomials and Vanishing Cycles*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 170, Cambridge University Press 2007.

[TZ] M. Tibăr and A. Zaharia, *Asymptotic behaviour of families of real curves*, Manuscripta Math. 99 (1999), no.3, 383–393.

[vdE] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics 190, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.

[Ve] J-L. Verdier, *Stratifications de Whitney et théorème de Bertini-Sard*, Inventiones Math. 36 (1976), 295–312.

Mathématiques, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Université Lille 1, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France.
E-mail address: `Ying.Chen@math.univ-lille1.fr`

ICMC, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Trabalhador São-Carlense, 400 - CP Box 668, 13560-970 São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil and Laboratoire Painlevé, UMR 8524 CNRS, Université de Lille 1, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France.
E-mail address: `lrgdias@icmc.usp.br`

Mathématiques, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Université Lille 1, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France.
E-mail address: `tibar@math.univ-lille1.fr`